

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/004,170	10/30/2001	Louis B. Rosenberg	IMM1P027B	1999
22903	7590 08/04/2003			
COOLEY GODWARD LLP ATTN: PATENT GROUP 11951 FREEDOM DRIVE, SUITE 1700			EXAMINER	
			BRIER, JEFFERY A	
ONE FREEDOM SQUARE- RESTON TOV RESTON, VA 20190-5061		TOWN CENTER	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2672	15
			DATE MAILED: 08/04/2003	,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<del></del>
✓ Advisory Action	10/004,170	ROSENBERG ET A	L
, . <b>,</b> ,	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jeffery A. Brier	2672	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress -
THE REPLY FILED 20 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica ) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply n places the applica	y to a Ition in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) $\boxtimes$ The period for reply expires $\underline{5}$ months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 (c)	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION.  R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note by	•	,	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	•	rially reducing or sir	nplifying the
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without cancel	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	S.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s): See Continuation Sheet.		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	,		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: 53,55 and 61.			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>45-52,54,56,57,59,60 and 62-68</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Exami	ner.
9.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen		-	
10. Other:		<u>-</u>	
<del></del>			
		Jeffery A. Brier Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2672	

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**



On page 13 of the response applicant makes reference to the January 22, 2003 office action and alleges that claims 53, 55, 56, 61 and 66 were indicated as having allowabe subject matter, however, that office action clearly stated that 53, 55 and 61 had allowable subject matter. It is noted that during the April 22, 2003 interview between this examiner and Erik Milch it was agreed that figure 10 overcame the rejection of claim 56, however, upon carefull review of the description given for figure 10 it is clear figure 10 illustrates sensed waveform 236 of figure 8 which is sensed in repsonse to force waveform 218, see the paragraph spanning pages 26-27, thus figure 10 does not support claim 56 because claim 56 claims sensor data based upon movement of the device without output of haptic feedback while the specification describing figure 10 describes sensor data based upon movement of the device with output of haptic feedback.

The amendment to claim 66 does not overcome the 35 USC 112 first paragraph rejection of that claim for the reasons of record, see paper no. 7 paragraph 12.

Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):

The proper terminal disclaimer filed on 06/20/03 overcomes the obvious type double patenting rejection based upon U.S. Patent Nos 6,310,605; 6,020,876; 6,067,077; and 5,999,168.

JEFFER F BRIEN PRIMARY EXAMINER